SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 09/30/10 Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Costa Mesa State: CA

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received: \$11,528,302 PJ's Size Grouping*: C PJ Since (FY): 1992

| | | | | | Nat'l Ranking (I | Percentile):* |
|---|-----------|---------------|------------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|
| Category | PJ | State Average | State Rank | Nat'l Average | Group C | Overall |
| Program Progress: | | | PJs in State: 93 | | | |
| % of Funds Committed | 94.73 % | 94.61 % | 45 | 95.51 % | 39 | 42 |
| % of Funds Disbursed | 91.86 % | 86.79 % | 21 | 87.58 % | 66 | 68 |
| Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities | 2.11 | 5.85 | 68 | 4.86 | 33 | 26 |
| % of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments*** | 100.00 % | 77.30 % | 1 | 82.17 % | 100 | 100 |
| % of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations*** | 100.00 % | 69.91 % | 1 | 71.17 % | 99 | 100 |
| Low-Income Benefit: | | | | | | |
| % of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters | 89.23 % | 81.87 % | 29 | 81.54 % | 60 | 66 |
| % of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters*** | 58.46 % | 41.12 % | 22 | 45.68 % | 0 | 74 |
| Lease-Up: | | | | | | |
| % of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units*** | 92.31 % | 96.50 % | 71 | 96.19 % | 20 | 18 |
| Overall Ranking: | | In S | tate: 12 / 93 | Nation | nally: 82 | 83 |
| HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed | l Units: | | | | | |
| Rental Unit | \$54,789 | \$36,770 | | \$27,510 | 65 Units | 14.90 % |
| Homebuyer Unit | \$142,782 | \$21,420 | | \$15,239 | 3 Units | 0.70 % |
| Homeowner-Rehab Unit | \$16,592 | \$27,404 | | \$20,932 | 353 Units | 81.00 % |
| TBRA Unit | \$10,507 | \$2,249 | | \$3,121 | 15 Units | 3.40 % |

^{* -} A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (59 PJs)

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (215 PJs)


C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (284 PJs)

^{** -} E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

^{***-} This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

| Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): | osta Mesa | | | | CA | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|------------|---|------------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------|--|-------------------|--------------|----------------|
| | ite:* | | ental \$158,094 \$141,187 \$98,643 | \$254,284 \$115,957 \$77,150 | | | ting Expenses: allocation) ost Index: 1 | PJ: National A | | 0.0 % 1.2 % |
| RACE: | R | ental % | Homebuyer % | Homeowner % | TBRA % | HOUSEHOLD TYPE: | Rental Hor | mebuyer Ho % | meowner % | TBRA % |
| White: | | 62.3 | 0.0 | 89.2 | 0.0 | Single/Non-Elderly: | 4.9 | 0.0 | 19.8 | 0.0 |
| Black/African American: | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | Elderly: | 57.4 | 0.0 | 59.8 | 0.0 |
| Asian: | | 3.3 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | Related/Single Parent: | 6.6 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native: | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | Related/Two Parent: | 23.0 | 100.0 | 9.9 | 0.0 |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Other: | 8.2 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and | White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Asian and White: | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Black/African American and White: | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and | Black: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Other Multi Racial: | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Asian/Pacific Islander: | | 0.0 | 66.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| ETHNICITY: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hispanic | | 34.4 | 33.3 | 7.4 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| HOUSEHOLD SIZE: | | | | | | SUPPLEMENTAL RENTAL | . ASSISTANCE: | | | |
| 1 Person: | | 57.4 | 0.0 | 56.4 | 0.0 | Section 8: | 55.7 | 0.0 | | |
| 2 Persons: | | 4.9 | 0.0 | 25.5 | 0.0 | HOME TBRA: | 0.0 | | | |
| 3 Persons: | | 13.1 | 0.0 | 6.2 | 0.0 | Other: | 0.0 | | | |
| 4 Persons: | | 13.1 | 66.7 | 6.5 | 0.0 | No Assistance: | 44.3 | | | |
| 5 Persons: | | 4.9 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 6 Persons: | | 6.6 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 7 Persons: | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 8 or more Persons: | | 0.0 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | # of Section 504 Complian | t Units / Comp | leted Units | Since 2001 | 4 |

Program and Beneficiary Characteristics for Completed Units



^{*} The State average includes all local and the State PJs within that state

^{**} The National average includes all local and State PJs, and Insular Areas

[#] Section 8 vouchers can be used for First-Time Homebuyer Downpayment Assistance.

— HOME PROGRAM — SNAPSHOT WORKSHEET - RED FLAG INDICATORS

Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Costa Mesa State: CA Group Rank: 82

State Rank: 12 / 93 PJs (Percentile)

Overall Rank: 83

Summary: 0 Of the 5 Indicators are Red Flags

| FACTOR | DESCRIPTION | THRESHOLD* | PJ RESULTS | RED FLAG |
|---------------|---|------------|------------|----------|
| 4 | % OF COMPLETED RENTAL DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL RENTAL COMMITMENTS | < 73.95% | 100 | |
| 5 | % OF COMPLETED CHDO DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL CHDO RESERVATIONS | < 54.12% | 100 | |
| 6 | % OF RENTERS BELOW 50% OF AREA MEDIAN INCOMF | < 70%** | 89.23 | |
| 8 | % OF OCCUPIED RENTAL UNITS TO ALL RENTAL UNITS | < 92.11% | 92.31 | |
| "ALLOCATION-\ | YEARS" NOT DISBURSED*** | > 2.750 | 1.32 | |

^{*} This Threshold indicates approximately the lowest 20% of the PJs



^{**} This percentage may indicate a problem with meeting the 90% of rental units and TBRA provided to households at 60% AMI requirement

^{***} Total of undisbursed HOME and ADDI funds through FY 2005 / FY2005 HOME and ADDI allocation amount. This is not a SNAPSHOT indicator, but a good indicator of program progress.